
**United States Environmental Protection** Agency

Office of Solid Waste and **Emergency Response** 



# **⊕EPA**

# A Citizen's Guide to Thermal Desorption

### **Technology Innovation Office**

Technology Fact Sheet

| Chiffe maliki                           |  | :  |
|---|--|--|
|   | 6. K. 1. (1.00000000 1.10000000) 1. (0.00000 1.1   |  |
|   | CONTENTO   |  |
|   | CONTENTS   | wi   |
| ration for the                          | aan ee ee gebruur en daagtiin de kooring is ee ee  |  |
| dood.coddo.co.                          | 1. Chasses 1. Asset 1. W 888847 1. Children  | ::-  |
| 137.                                    | 1 (44-4-4.1 g) 1 (1 (44-4.1 g) 1 (1 (44-4.1 g) 1 (44-4.1 g | ٠.   |
|   | and the Market Market States   | 900  |
|   | Page   | ٠.   |
| Later 1                                 |  | Æ.   |
| . 5.4                                   |  | 8.0  |
|   | Adu 1900 19000   |  |
| d varidado :                            | augadej, 1990. (1997) i (1997)   |  |
| □ Wha                                   | t is Thermal   | - 3  |
|   |  | -39  |
| Deer                                    | orption?   | w  |
| 2000                                    | vibroning and  |  |
| C114                                    |  | .5-  |
| 1,600,000 1000                          |  | ୍  |
|   | asada watata Africa (1907) | à.   |
| 1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -  | e.   |
| مدمعلا                                  | Done Thermal   | . : >  |
| - INW                                   | Does Thermal   | 7.   |
| D                                       | Suntian Walter 4   | 4.   |
| Desc.                                   | orption Work? 1  |  |
| 1 No.                                   |  | . >  |
| 1900 1900                               | 479 J. 1 - Mary (2008) (10.17)   1   | O.   |
| 1000                                    |  |  |
| 10000000                                |  | Ŧ.,  |
| 2 4 44                                  |  |  |
| Wny                                     | Consider Thermal   | de la  |
|   |  |  |
| The er                                  | orption? 3   |  |
|   |  |  |
| -                                       | orption? 3   | ٠.   |
|   | иричи з  |  |
|   | аркон <u>.</u>   |  |
|   | apiloni 3  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Will                                    | it Wark At Every   | Silving Silvin |
| Will                                    | it Wark At Every   |  |
|   | it Wark At Every   |  |
| Will                                    | it Wark At Every   |  |
| Will                                    | it Wark At Every   |  |
| Will                                    | it Wark At Every   |  |
| Will<br>Site?                           | lt Wark At Every<br>? 3  |  |
| Will<br>Site?                           | lt Wark At Every<br>? 3  |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe                    | It Work At Every<br>? 3<br>re is Thermal   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe                    | It Work At Every<br>? 3<br>re is Thermal   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe                    | lt Wark At Every<br>? 3  |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every<br>? 3<br>re is Thermal   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | it Work At Every ? 3 re is Thermal protion Being cted? 3   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | it Work At Every ? 3 re is Thermal protion Being cted? 3   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | It Work At Every 3 re is Thermal protion Being   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | it Work At Every ? 3 re is Thermal protion Being cted? 3   |  |
| Will<br>Site?<br>Whe<br>Desc            | it Work At Every ? 3 re is Thermal protion Being cted? 3   |  |

### What Is Thermal Desorption?

Thermal desorption is an innovative treatment technology that treats soils contaminated with hazardous wastes by heating the soil at relatively low temperatures (200-1000°F) so that contaminants with low boiling points will vaporize (turn into gas) and, consequently, separate from the soil. (The other soil contaminants, if any, are treated by other methods.) The vaporized contaminants are collected and treated, typically by an air emissions treatment system.

Thermal desorption is a different treatment process than incineration. Thermal desorption uses heat to physically separate the contaminants from the soil, which then require further treatment. Incineration uses heat to actually destroy the contaminants.

## **How Does Thermal Desorption Work?**

Thermal desorption makes use of either in situ or ex situ processes. In situ -- in place -- treats soils without excavating them. Ex situ treats excavated soils.

There are three steps in thermal desorption: 1) heating the soil to vaporize the contaminants; 2) treating the vaporized contaminants; and 3) testing the treated soil. There are four different methods for heating the soil to vaporize the contaminants:


- In situ steam extraction
- Direct heating
- Indirect heating
- Oxygen free heating

See Figure 1 on page 2 for an illustration of in situ steam extraction. Figure 2 on page 2 shows the processes that require excavation: direct heating, indirect heating, and oxygen free heating.

#### **Thermal Desorption Profile**

- Heats soil at relatively low temperatures to vaporize contaminants and remove them.
- Is most effective at treating volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds and other organic contaminants, such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and polyaromatic hydrocarbons
- Offers a variety of heating methods for vaporizing the organic contaminants from the soil. These heating methods include transportable and in situ technologies.

Figure 1 In Situ Steam Extraction




A variety of factors determine which heating method will be used, including soil type and amount, contaminant type and amount, and cost. Each of the four heating methods are briefly described below:

In situ (in place) steam extraction (Figure 1, above) - the soil is kept in place, and hot steam is pumped through the ground. The volatile contaminants vaporize and are collected in a vacuum. A disadvantage to this heating method is that a limited area of soil is treated at one time. Contaminants are, therefore, removed at a slower rate.

Direct heating (Figure 2, below) - the soil is excavated and put into a treatment vessel. The treatment vessel is heated and the heat is transferred to the soil. As the contaminants become heated they vaporize. The advantage of this heating method is that it is simple and cost effective to set up.

Indirect heating (Figure 2, below) - the soil is excavated and put into a treatment vessel. A burner is transported to the site, which heats an air source. The heated air is pumped into the treatment vessel by a blower. The air heats the soil, which causes the contaminants to vaporize. This heating method requires more fuel because some heat is lost during transfer.

Figure 2
Three Ex Situ Thermal Desorption Methods



Oxygen free (Figure 2, page 2) - the soil is placed in a treatment vessel which has no oxygen and which is sealed and filled with nitrogen to avoid any contact between the soil and oxygen. The outside of the vessel is heated, and the contaminants vaporize.

Once vaporized, the contaminants can be treated in the same manner regardless of the heating method. The vaporized contaminants are either: 1) cooled and condensed into a liquid, which is then placed in drums for treatment or disposal; or 2) trapped in carbon filters which are then treated or disposed of; or 3) burned in an afterburner. All disposals must meet Federal, State, and local standards. The selection of the vapor treatment system depends on the concentration of the contaminants, cleanup standards, and various economic and engineering considerations.

The performance of thermal desorption is typically measured by comparing the contaminant levels in treated soils with those of untreated soils. With the ex situ processes, if the treated soil is nonhazardous, it is redeposited on-site or taken elsewhere as backfill. If, however, the soil requires further treatment (for example, there are additional contaminants that do not respond to this process), it may be treated with another technology or transported off-site for disposal.

#### **Why Consider Thermal Desorption?**

Thermal desorption can effectively reduce hazards to both people and the environment. Thermal desorption is most successful in treating soils, sediments, and sludges that are contaminated with volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and some polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The equipment available is capable of treating up to 10 tons of contaminated soil per hour. Finally, the low temperatures require less fuel than other treatment methods.

#### Will It Work At Every Site?

Thermal desorption does not work well on all types of soil. If the soil is wet, water will vaporize along with the contaminants. Because of the additional substance (water) being vaporized, more fuel is required to vaporize all the contaminants in the wet soil. Soils with high silt and clay content are also more difficult to treat with thermal desorption. When heated, silt and clay emit dust, which can disrupt the air emission equipment used to treat the

vaporized contaminants. In addition, tightly packed soil often does not permit the heat to make contact with all of the contaminants. It is, therefore, difficult for them to vaporize. Finally, thermal desorption has limited effectiveness in treating contaminants such as heavy metals, since they do not separate easily from the soil, and strong acids, since they can corrode the treatment equipment.

# Where Is Thermal Desorption Being Selected?

Thermal desorption has been selected as a treatment method at numerous Superfund sites. For example, thermal desorption was used at the Cannon Engineering Corporation site in Plymouth, Massachusetts to treat soil contaminated with volatile organic compounds and semivolatile organic compounds. Thermal desorption effectively treated 11,330 tons of contaminated soil at the site. The process began in May 1990 and was completed five months later in October 1990. With this technology, cleanup goals for the site were met and exceeded. In addition, the property was restored so that, once again, it can be put to commercial or industrial use. Table 1 on the following page lists some additional Superfund sites where thermal desorption has been selected or used, their locations, and the types of facilities requiring treatment.

### What is An innovative Treatment Technology?

Treatment technologies are processes applied to the treatment of hazardous waste or contaminated materials to permanently after their condition through chemical, biological, or physical means. Technologies that have been tested, selected or used for treatment of hazardous waste or contaminated materials but lack well-documented cost and performance data under a variety of operating conditions are called innovative treatment technologies.

Table 1
Superfund Sites Where Thermal Desorption Has Been Used or Selected

| Site                                | Location       | Types of Facilities*                               |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Cannon Engineering                  | Massachusetts  | Chemical waste handling, storage, and incineration |
| McKin                               | Maine          | Waste storage, transfer, disposal                  |
| Ottati and Goss                     | New Hampshire  | Drum reconditioning                                |
| RE-Solve                            | Massachusetts  | Chemical reclamation                               |
| American Thermostat                 | New York       | Industrial manufacturing of thermostats            |
| University of Minnesota             | Minnesota      | University wastes (PCBs)                           |
| Martin Marietta                     | Colorado       | Aerospace equipment manufacturer                   |
| Caldwell Trucking                   | New Jersey     | Unpermitted septic waste                           |
| Claremont Polychemical              | New York       | Chemical   |
| Fulton Terminals                    | New York       | Former waste tank farm                             |
| Marathon Battery                    | New York       | Former battery manufacturer                        |
| Metaltec/Aerosystems                | New Jersey     | Metal manufacturing                                |
| Reich Farms                         | New Jersey     | Uncontrolled waste disposal                        |
| Sarney Farm                         | New York       | Industrial and municipal landfill                  |
| Waldick Aerospace Devices           | New Jersey     | Manufacturing and electroplating of plane parts    |
| Wamchem                             | South Carolina | Former dye manufacturing plant                     |
| Outboard Marine/<br>Waukegan Harbor | Illinois       | Marine products manufacturing                      |

<sup>\*</sup>All waste types and site conditions are not similar. Each site must be individually investigated and tested. Engineering and scientific judgment must be used to determine if a technology is appropriate for a site.

#### For More Information

EPA prepared this fact sheet to provide basic information on thermal desorption. Additional technical reports listed below may be obtained by calling (513) 569-7562 or writing to:

Center for Environmental Research Information 26 West Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268

There may be a charge for these documents.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990. In Situ Steam/Hot Air Stripping, Toxic Treatment, Inc., EPA/540/M5-90/003.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990. Inventory of Treatability Study Vendors, Volume 1, EPA/540/2-90/003a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990. Second Forum on Innovative Treatment Technologies, Domestic and International, Philadelphia, PA, May 15-17, 1990, EPA/540/2-90/006 (Abstracts) or EPA/540/2-90/010 (Technical Papers).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. Engineering Bulletin: Thermal Desorption Treatment, EPA/540/2-91/008.

NOTICE: This fact sheet is intended solely as general guidance and information. It is not intended, nor can it be relied upon, to create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation with the United States. The Agency also reserves the right to change this guidance at any time without public notice.